# THE SLOW ASYMMETRIC MOTION OF TWO DROPS IN A VISCOUS MEDIUM * 

A. Z. ZINCHENKO

An exact solution of Stokes equations is derived in the case of two spherical drops moving in a viscous medium at velocities normal to their line of centers. Results of numerical calculation of hydrodynamic forces are presented, and the passing to the limits of published solutions discussed. The behavior of hydrodynamic forces is investigated in the case of contacting spheres.

A considerable number of publications deals with the problem of finding the exact solution of Stokes equations in the case of motion of two solid spherical particles in a viscous medium. The solution in $/ 1 /$ relates to slow rotation of particles about their line of centers, while translational motion of spheres along the line of their centers was considered in /2-4/. These solutions were obtained in bispherical coordinates and made possible the representation of hydrodynamic forces in the form of infinite series whose common terms have analytic expressions. A method of exact solution of Stokes equations in the asymmetric case when solid spheres translate along or rotate about axes normal to their line of centers was developed in /5,6/. Hydrodynamic forces in that case can also be represented by infinite series, but their common terms cannot be determined explicitly, and have to be obtained by solving a system of difference equations. The most comprehensive analysis of the asymmetric problem was given in /7-9/ which contain numerical data and an extensive bibliography. Owing to the linearity of the Stokes problem, the results of $/ 1-9 /$ make it possible to calculate the interaction of two solid spheres in any arbitrary motion.

An exact solution of the axisymmetric problem of fluid spheres moving along their line of centres was obtained in /10,11/. In the present paper the exact solution is derived for the asymmetric case in which drops move at velocities normal to the line of their centers. Owing to the problem linearity the proposed solution together with /lo, ll/ makes possible the calculation of the interaction between drops in arbitrary motion.

An attempt was made in /12/ to obtain an asymptotic solution of the considered problem by the method of imaging that is applicable in the case of considerable distances between the sphere surfaces. It is shown below that the solution contains errors.

1. Statement of the problem. The exact solution of Stokes equations, we consider fluid sphere of radius $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, with dynamic viscosities $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ moving normally to their line of centers at velocities $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ in a medium of viscosity $\mu_{e}$. The Reynolds numbers are assumed low, and the problem is investigated in the Stokes approximation. As the boundaryconditions we take the absence of flow through contact surfaces, the continuity of velocity and tangential stress at the sphere surfaces, and the fluid quiescence at infinity. Surface tension at the interface of fluids is assumed fairly high so that the deviation of particle shape from spherical can be neglected, and it is not necessary to take into account the boundary condition for continuity of normal stresses.

Let us consider the Cartesian system of coordinates ( $x, y, z$ ) and the connected with it system of cylindrical coordinates ( $r, \theta, z$ ), with the $z$-axis on the line of centers $O_{2} O_{1}$ (Fig.l). The half-plane $y=0, x>0$ corresponds to $\theta=0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that in the cartesian coordinate system $\quad V_{i}=\left(\delta_{i} V, 0,0\right)$, where $\delta_{i}=0$ or $\delta_{i}==1(i=1$, 2$)$. The solution structure is assumed to be the same as in $/ 5-8 /$ and the fluid velocity components in the free flow regions denoted in Fig.l by 1,2 , and $e$ are sought in the form


Fig. 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{r}-V(r F / c-\chi+\psi) \cos \theta \\
& v_{0}=V(\chi-\psi) \sin \theta, \quad v_{z}=V(z F / c+2 \Phi) \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where parameter $c$ of dimension length is determined in (1.3) below. The unknown functions $F, \chi, \psi$ and $\Phi$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1} F=L_{1} \Phi=L_{2} \chi:=L_{0} \psi=0  \tag{1.2}\\
& L_{m}=\partial^{2} / \partial r^{2}+r^{-1} \partial / \partial r+\partial^{2} / \partial Z^{2}-m^{2} r^{-2}
\end{align*}
$$

As shown in $/ 8 /$, the stokes equation $\operatorname{rot}(\Delta y)=0$ is in this case identically satisfied.

Using the bispherical system of coordinates

[^0]$$
z=\frac{c \operatorname{sh} \eta}{\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu}, \quad r=\frac{c \sin \xi}{\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu}, \quad \mu=\cos \xi
$$

It is possible to determine parameter $c$ and the quantitics $\eta_{1}>0$ and $\eta_{2}<0$ so that the sphere of radius $a_{i}$ is the coordinate surface $\eta=\eta_{i}=$ const by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch} \eta_{1}=\frac{(1-\varepsilon)(1+k)+k \varepsilon^{2} / 2}{1+k+k \varepsilon}, \quad \operatorname{sh} \eta_{2}=-h \operatorname{sh} \eta_{1}, \quad c=a_{1} \operatorname{sh} \eta_{1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon a_{1}$ is the gap between spheres and $k=a_{1} / a_{2}$.
As shown in $/ 8 /$, solutions of Eqs. (1.2) can be sought in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=\zeta \sin \xi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(\eta) P_{n}^{\prime}(\mu), \quad \Phi=\zeta \sin \xi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(\eta) P_{n}^{\prime}(\mu)  \tag{1.4}\\
& \chi=\zeta \sin ^{2} \xi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{n}(\eta) P_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\mu), \quad \Psi=\zeta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(\eta) P_{n}(\mu) \\
& \zeta=(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{n}(\mu)$ is a Legendre polynomial of $n$-th power and $f_{n}, \varphi_{n}, \chi_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ are linear combinations of functions exp $[(n+1 / 2) \eta]$ and $\exp [-(n+1 / 2) \eta]$. Using the transform

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{n}=5 f_{n}+2 \psi_{n}-2(n-1)(n+2) \chi_{n} \\
& \beta_{n-1}=-(n-1) f_{n-1}-\psi_{n-1}+(n-2)(n-1) \chi_{n-1} \\
& \gamma_{n+1}=(n+2) f_{n+1}-\psi_{n+1}+(n+2)(n+3) \chi_{n+1} \quad(n \geqslant 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

we introduce in addition to $f_{n}, \varphi_{n}, \chi_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ functions $\alpha_{n}(\eta), \beta_{n}(\eta)$ and $\gamma_{n}(\eta)$.
To eliminate singularities of the velocity field at points $z= \pm c, r=0$ that lie inside the spheres, we set

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{n}{ }^{1}  \tag{1.6}\\
\beta_{n}{ }^{1} \\
\gamma_{n}{ }^{1} \\
\varphi_{n}{ }^{1}
\end{array}\right\|=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
I_{n}{ }^{1} \\
K_{n}{ }^{1} \\
M_{n}{ }^{1} \\
A_{n}{ }^{1}
\end{array}\right\| \exp [-(n+1 / 2) \eta],\left\|\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{n}{ }^{2} \\
\beta_{n}{ }^{2} \\
\gamma_{n}{ }^{2} \\
\varphi_{n}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right\|=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
J_{n}{ }^{2} \\
L_{n}{ }^{2} \\
N_{n}{ }^{2} \\
B_{n}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right\| \exp [(n+1 / 2) \eta]
$$

In the external region

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{n}{ }^{e}  \tag{1.7}\\
\beta_{n}{ }^{e} \\
\gamma_{n}{ }^{e} \\
\varphi_{n}{ }^{e}
\end{array}\right\|=\left\|\begin{array}{l}
I_{n}{ }^{e} \\
K_{n}{ }^{e} \\
M_{n}{ }^{e} \\
A_{n}{ }^{e}
\end{array}\right\| \exp [-(n+1 / 2) \eta]+\left\|\begin{array}{c}
J_{n}{ }^{e} \\
L_{n}{ }^{e} \\
v_{n}{ }^{e} \\
B_{n}{ }^{e}
\end{array}\right\| \exp [(n+1 / 2) \eta]
$$

where the superscripts $e$ and $i(i=1,2)$ denote quantities in respective regions shown in Fig.l. Using the data in /8/ we find that the equation div $v=0$ of continuity in the external region is equivalent to two relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{n}^{e}+K_{n-1}^{e}+M_{n+1}^{e}-2(2 n+1) A_{n}^{e}+2(n-1) A_{n-1}^{e}+ \\
& \quad 2(n+2) A_{n+1}^{e}=0 \\
& I_{n}^{e}+L_{n-1}^{e}+N_{n+1}^{e}-1-2(2 n+1) B_{n}^{e}-2(n-1) B_{n-1}^{e}- \\
& \quad 2(n+2) B_{n+1}^{e}=0 \quad(n \geqslant 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

For inner regions the continuity equations also reduce to two difference equations by substituting in the case of region 1 superscript unity for $e$ throughout the first of Eqs. (1.8), and in region 2 substitute in the second of Eqs. (1.8) $J_{n}{ }^{2}$ etc. for $J_{n}{ }^{e}$ etc.

The equations of fluid velocity continuity at the spherc surfaces yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{n}{ }^{i}-\psi_{n}{ }^{e}=\frac{1}{3 i \eta}\left[\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2 n+3} Z_{n+1}^{i}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2 n-1} Z_{n-1}^{i}\right] \\
& \chi_{n}{ }^{i}-\%_{n}^{e}=-\frac{1}{\text { sh }}\left[\frac{1}{2 n-1} Z_{n-1}^{i}-\frac{1}{2 n \cdot 3} Z_{n+1}^{i}\right] \\
& Z_{n}{ }^{i}=\varphi_{n}{ }^{i}-\varphi_{n}{ }^{c}, \quad \eta=\eta_{i}, \quad i==1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.5)-(1.9) we can reduce the solenoidality of velocity fields in the inner regions to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{n}^{e}+2(2 n+1) A_{n}^{e}+\exp \left(2 \eta_{2}\right)\left[K_{n-1}^{e}-2(n-1) A_{n-1}^{e}\right]+  \tag{1.10}\\
& \quad \exp \left(-2 \eta_{2}\right)\left[M_{n+1}^{e}-2(n+2) A_{n+1}^{e}\right]+\exp \left[(n+1 / 2) \eta_{2}\right] X_{n}^{2}=0 \\
& J_{n}^{e}-2(2 n+1) B_{n}^{e}+\exp \left(-2 \eta_{1}\right)\left[L_{n-1}^{e}+2(n-1) B_{n-1}^{e}\right]+ \\
& \quad \exp \left(2 \eta_{1}\right)\left[N_{n 1}^{e}+2(n+2) B_{n+1}^{e}\right]+\exp \left[-(n+1 / 2) \eta_{1}\right] X_{n}^{1}=0 \quad(n \geq 1)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}^{i}=\frac{4}{\operatorname{sh} \eta_{i}} \left\lvert\, \frac{n-1}{2 n-1} Z_{n-1}^{i}+\frac{\left.n \cdot \frac{3}{2 n+3} Z_{n+1}^{i}+\left(\frac{\operatorname{sh} \eta_{i} \mid}{2 n+1}-\operatorname{ch} \eta_{i}\right) Z_{n}^{i} \right\rvert\,}{i=1,2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify the writing of boundary conditions for impenetrability and of continuity of tangential stresses we introduce in the neighborhood of each surface the vector of relative fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}_{*}=\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and the quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{11}=-\mu F \operatorname{sh} \eta-2(1-\mu \operatorname{ch} \eta)\left(\mathbf{1}-\left(\chi+\psi-\delta_{i}\right) \sin \xi \operatorname{sh} \eta\right.  \tag{1,71}\\
& u_{\mathrm{\xi}}=-\frac{1}{\sin }\left[(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu) F+\frac{2(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)^{2}}{\operatorname{sh} \eta}\left(\mathrm{\phi}+\frac{(\mu \operatorname{ch} \eta-1)}{\operatorname{sh} \eta} u_{\eta}\right]\right.
\end{align*}
$$

which differ from the respective covariant components of vector $v_{*}$ by the coefficient $(c)$ cos 0$)^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{ch} \eta-\mu)^{2}$. The impenetrability conditions $u_{n}{ }^{2}-\quad 0$ at the surface of spheres assume the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{v_{n+1}}{2 n+3}-\frac{\varphi_{n-1}^{c}}{3 n-1} \therefore \frac{-\operatorname{ch} \eta}{\operatorname{sh} \eta}\left[\frac{n-1}{3 n-1} \varphi_{n-1}^{e}+\frac{n-2}{2 n+3} \varphi_{n+1}^{*}\right]-\frac{2 \varphi_{n}^{e}}{\operatorname{sh} \eta}= \\
& \quad \delta_{i} \sqrt{2}\left\{\frac{\exp [-(n-1 / 2)|\eta| 1}{2 n-1}-\frac{\exp [-(n+3 / 2)|\eta|]}{2 n+3}\right\} \\
& n \geqslant 1, \eta-\eta_{i}, i-1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

With allowance for (1.7) the equalities (1.8), (1.10), and (1.12) constitute a system of linear equations in $I_{n}^{e}, J_{n}^{\prime "}, K_{n-1}^{e}, L_{n-1}^{e}, M_{n+1}^{e}$ and $N_{n+1}^{e}$ (this is the reason for using transform (1.5)). The solution of this system yields for the unknowns expressions in terms of $A_{m}{ }^{e}, B_{m}{ }^{e}(n-1 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1)$ and $X_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $X_{n}{ }^{2}$. The related formulas are very cumbersome and are not presented here.

The coefficients of all functions $f_{n}, f_{n}, \gamma_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ may be expressed in terms of $A_{n}{ }^{p}, R_{m}{ }^{0}$, $Z_{m}{ }^{1}$ and $Z_{m}{ }^{2}(n-2 \leqslant m \leqslant n+2)$ using (1.9) and the transform inverse of (1.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3(2 n+1) j_{n} \quad(2 n-1) \alpha_{n}-2(n+2) \beta_{n}+2(n-1) \gamma_{n}  \tag{1.13}\\
& 6(2 n+1) \gamma_{n}-(2 n+1) \alpha_{n}-(2 n+7) \beta_{n}-(2 n-5) \gamma_{n} \\
& 6(2 n+1) \psi_{n}=-(2 n+1) n(n+1) \alpha_{n}-(n+1)(n+2) \times \\
& (2 n+3) \beta_{n}-n(n-1)(2 n-1) \gamma_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to satisfy the boundary conditions of continuity of tangential stresses whose projections on $\xi$ and $\theta$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial u_{\mathrm{s}}^{e} / \partial \eta=\lambda_{i} \partial u_{\mathrm{s}}^{i} / \partial \eta \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)\left(\chi^{e}-\psi^{e}+\delta_{i}\right)\right]=\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)\left(\chi^{i}-\psi^{i}+\delta_{i}\right)\right] \\
& \lambda_{i}=\mu_{i} / \mu_{e}, \eta \quad \eta_{i}, i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account (1.11) and the impenetrability conditions, we represent the quantities $\partial u_{k} / \partial \eta$ when $\eta$. $\eta_{i}$ in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{3 \operatorname{sh} \eta}{2 \sin \xi} F-\frac{3(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)}{\sin \xi}\left(I-\sin \xi \operatorname{ch} \eta \frac{D f}{\partial \eta}+\right. \\
& \quad(1 \operatorname{ch} \eta-1) \frac{b}{\partial \eta}\left(x+\psi-\delta_{i}\right)-2 \operatorname{sh} \eta \sin \xi \frac{D \phi}{\partial \eta} \\
& D / \partial \eta-o / \partial \eta-1 / 2 \operatorname{sh} \eta(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1.15), (1.4), (1.9), (1.5), and (1.13) we can represent the first of Eqs. (1. 14) in the form of the difference equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{3\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right)}{1+\lambda_{i}}\left\{f_{n}{ }^{*} \operatorname{sh} \eta+2\left[\varphi_{n}{ }^{e} \operatorname{ch} \eta-\frac{n-1}{2 n-1} \varphi_{n-1}^{e}-\frac{n+2}{2 n+3} \varphi_{n+1}^{e}\right]\right\}+  \tag{1.16}\\
& \operatorname{ch} \eta\left[\frac{n ; 2}{2 n \cdot 3}\left(\gamma_{n+2}^{ \pm}-\beta_{n}^{ \pm}\right)+\frac{n-1}{2 n-1}\left(\gamma_{n}^{ \pm}-\beta_{n-2}^{ \pm}\right)-(2 n+1) f_{n}^{ \pm}\right]+ \\
& (n+2) f_{n+1}^{ \pm}+(n-1) f_{n-1}^{ \pm}+\beta_{n-1}^{ \pm}-\gamma_{n+1}^{ \pm}+ \\
& 2 \operatorname{sh} \eta\left[\frac{(n-2)(n \cdots 3)}{2 n+3} \varphi_{n+2}^{ \pm}+\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2 n-1} \varphi_{n-2}^{ \pm}-\right. \\
& \left.\frac{2 n(n-1)(2 n+1)}{(2 n-1)(2 n+3)} \varphi_{n}+\right]+ \\
& \frac{4 \lambda_{i}}{\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)|\operatorname{sh} \eta|}\left\{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{(3 n-1)(2 n-3)} Z_{n-1}^{i}-\frac{2(n-1)^{2} \operatorname{ch} \eta}{(2 n-1)^{2}} Z_{n-1}^{i}+\right. \\
& \frac{2}{2 n+1}\left[\frac{2 n(n-1)}{(2 n-1)(1-n+3)}-1\right] Z_{n}{ }^{i}+\frac{2(n+2)^{2} \operatorname{ch} \eta}{(2 n-3)^{2}} Z_{n+1}^{i}- \\
& \left.\frac{(n+2)(n-3)}{(2 n+3)(2 n-5)} Z_{n+2}^{i}\right\}=
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{2^{1 / 8} \delta_{i} \operatorname{sh} \eta\left(\lambda_{i}-1\right)}{1+\lambda_{i}}\left\{\operatorname { c h } \eta \left[\frac{n+2}{2 n+3} \exp (-(n+3 / 2)|\eta|)+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad \frac{n-1}{2 n-1} \exp (-(n-1 / 2)|\eta|)\right]-\exp (-(n+1 / 2)|\eta|)\right\} \quad(n \geq 1) \\
& \left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)(n+1 / 2) f_{n} \pm=d f_{n}^{e} / d \eta \pm \lambda_{i}(n+1 / 2) f_{n}^{e} \\
& \eta=\eta_{i}, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

where the quantities $\beta_{n} \pm, \gamma_{n} \pm$ and $\varphi_{n}{ }^{ \pm}$are determined similarly to $f_{n} \pm$ using the upper sign for $i=1$ and the lower for $i=2$.

Using the second of Eqs. (1.14) we shall show that $f_{n} \pm$ can be expressed in terms of $A_{m}{ }^{e}, B_{m}{ }^{e}, Z_{m}{ }^{1}$ and $Z_{m}{ }^{2}$ for $n-1 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1$. Substitution of corresponding expressions for $f_{n-1}^{ \pm}$and $f_{n+1}^{ \pm}$in (1.16) makes it possible to obtain two fourth-order difference equations in $A_{n}{ }^{e}, B_{n}{ }^{e}, Z_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $Z_{n}{ }^{2}$.

The expressions for (ch $\eta-\mu)\left(\chi-\psi+\delta_{i}\right)$ in the continuity equation div $v_{*}=0$ for the neighborhood of the sphexe of radius $a_{i}$ in bispherical coordinates is obtained from (1.14) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-\frac{3 \operatorname{sh} \eta}{\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right]\left(u_{\eta}^{e}-\lambda_{i} u_{\eta}^{i}\right)+}  \tag{1.17}\\
& \quad\left[\frac{3 \sin \xi \operatorname{sh} \eta}{(\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu)^{2}}-\frac{2 \sin \xi}{\operatorname{ch} \eta-\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right]\left(u_{\xi}^{e}-\lambda_{i} u_{\dot{\xi}}^{i}\right)=0, \quad \eta=\eta_{i}, \quad i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

which with allowance for (1.1l) and the impenetrability conditions can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.2 \frac{D}{\partial \eta}-\mu \operatorname{sh} \eta \frac{D^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right]\left(F^{e}-\lambda_{i} F^{i}\right)+\left[2(1-\mu \operatorname{ch} \eta) \frac{D^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\right. \\
\left.2]\left(\Phi^{e}-\lambda_{i} \Phi^{i}\right)-\sin \xi \operatorname{sh} \eta \frac{D^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}} l\left(\chi^{e}+\psi^{e}-\delta_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i}\left(\chi^{i}+\psi^{i}-\delta_{i}\right)\right]= \\
=0, \eta==\eta_{i}, i=1,2
\end{array}\right.}  \tag{1.18}\\
\text { Using (1.4), (1.5), (1.9), and (1.12) we represent (1.18) in the form of the difference }
\end{gather*}
$$ equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}^{ \pm}=\frac{2\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right)}{1+\lambda_{i}}\left\{\frac{\operatorname{sh} \eta}{2 n+3}\left[\delta_{i} \sqrt{2} \exp \left(-\left(n-\mid{ }^{3} / 2\right)|\eta|\right) \div \gamma_{n+1}^{e}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.\quad \frac{2(n+2) \operatorname{ch} \eta}{2 n+3} \varphi_{n+1}^{e}-\varphi_{n}^{e}\right\} \mp \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)} X_{n}^{i} \quad(n \geqslant 1) \\
& \eta=\eta_{i}, i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

where the upper sign is taken for $i=1$ and the lower for $i=2$.
The obtained representation of $f_{n} \pm$ together with the expressions for coefficients $I_{n}{ }^{\text {e }}$, $J_{n}{ }^{e}, K_{n-1}^{e}, L_{n-1}^{e}, M_{n+1}^{e}$ and $N_{n+1}^{e}$ in terms of $A_{m}{ }^{e}$ and $B_{m}{ }^{e}(n-1 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1)$ and $X_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $X_{n}{ }^{2}$ makes it possible to obtain from (1.16) two fourth order difference equations in $A_{n}{ }^{e}, B_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}, Z_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $Z_{n}{ }^{2}$. The second pair of fourth order equations is obtained by using for $j_{n}$ t another expression based on the first of equalities (1.13).

Remark. An attempt at direct reduction of condition (1.14) to four difference equations in $A_{n}{ }^{e}, B_{n}{ }^{e}, Z_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $Z_{n}{ }^{2}$ results in two of these containing differences of the sixth order and two of the eighth order.

The final system of equations is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=-2}^{2} T_{n}{ }^{k} w_{n+k}=\delta_{1} S_{n}{ }^{1}+\delta_{2} S_{n}{ }^{2}, \quad n \geqslant 1  \tag{1.20}\\
& T_{n}{ }^{k}=0, \quad n+k<1
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{n}$ is a column vector with components

$$
A_{n}{ }^{e} \exp \left[-(n+1 / 2) \eta_{2}\right], B_{n}{ }^{e} \exp \left[(n+1 / 2) \eta_{1}\right], Z_{n}{ }^{1}, Z_{n}{ }^{2}
$$

Since the derivation of analytic expressions for elements of matrices $T_{n}^{*}$ of the fourth order and of vectors $\mathrm{S}_{n}{ }^{j}$ would be cxtremely laborious, a subroutine was developed for the computer which made possible the numerical determination of these for any $n$ in accordance with the expounded scheme.

As the necessary condition of flow regularity up to the surface of spheres we specify that the solution $w_{n}$ of system (1.20) must approach zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

When $w_{n}$ is known it is possible to determine all functions $\varphi_{n}, f_{n}, \chi_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$.
2. Calculation of hydrodynamic interaction of sphere, Note that all monents of hydrodynamic forces about the particle centers of mass are always zero. The moment of forces acting on a sphere of radius $a_{i}$ is equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{i}}\left(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{p}_{n}{ }^{e}\right) d S \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}$ is the sphere surface area, $\mathbf{R}$ is the vector from the sphere center to the current
point of its surface, and $p_{n}$ is the stress vector. Taking into account the collinearity of vector $\mathbf{R}$ and the vector of the normal and the conditions of continuity of tangential stresses, vector $\mathbf{p}_{n}{ }^{i}$ can be substituted in (2.1) for vector $\mathbf{p}_{n}{ }^{e}$ and, then, use the Gauss theorem and the nondivergence of the stress tensor that follows from stokes equations.

It follows from (1.1) that only the $x$-components of forces can be nonzero. We set

$$
\left.f_{n}{ }^{e}(\eta)-C_{n}{ }^{e} \exp [(n+1 / 2) \eta]+D_{n}{ }^{e} \exp 1-(n+1 / 2) \quad \eta\right]
$$

As shown in $/ 8 /$, formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{x}=-\pi \mu_{t} a_{1} V^{\gamma} \operatorname{sh} \eta_{1} 8 \sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) C_{n 2}^{e}  \tag{2.2}\\
& F_{2}^{x}=\pi \mu_{2} a_{2} V^{\prime} \operatorname{sh} \eta_{2} 8 \sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1) D_{n}^{e}
\end{align*}
$$

are valid for the $x$-components of forces acting on particles, independently of boundary conditions at the sphere surfaces.

The sums of series (2.2) were computed as follows. From the two equations (1.19) we obtain

$$
n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & -1
\end{array}\right)\left\|\begin{array}{c}
C_{n}^{e}  \tag{2.3}\\
D_{n}^{e}
\end{array}\right\|=\sum_{j=-1}^{1} R_{n}^{j} \mathbf{w}_{n+j}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \delta \cdot \mathrm{C}_{n}{ }^{j}, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

Matrices $R_{n}{ }^{j}$ and vectors $G_{n}{ }^{j}$ were determined numerically. Then, applying to system (1.20) the method of matrix run-through, it is possible to express $w_{n}$ in terms of $w_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{n+2}$ ( the initial values of running coefficients are determined by the last of conditions (1.20)). Hence, using (2.3), we can write

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m(n-1)\left\|\begin{array}{l}
c_{m}^{e} \\
D_{m}{ }^{e} \|
\end{array}\right\|=Q_{n} \mathbf{w}_{n}+Q_{n}^{-1} \mathbf{w}_{n-1}+\delta_{1} \mathbf{H}_{n}{ }^{1}+\delta_{2} \mathbf{H}_{n}{ }^{2}
$$

Matrices $Q_{n}{ }^{k}$ and vectors $H_{n}{ }^{j}$ are determined recurrently. Numerical computations show that always $Q_{n}{ }^{0}, Q_{n}{ }^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$, hence for calculating forces it is sufficient to determine the limits of $\mathbf{H}_{n}{ }^{1}$ and $H_{n}{ }^{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We stress that only a straight through run is used with this algorithm.
In the case of arbitrary motion of the spheres at velocities $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ normal to the line of centers we represent the hydrodynamic forces as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{2}--\lim _{1}, a_{2}\left[A_{21}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\underline{2}}-V_{1}\right)+i_{29} V_{2} \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The dependence between coefficients of drag determined in $/ 12 /$ is equivalent to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{11}-k^{-1} \Lambda_{21} \quad \Lambda_{12} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculated coefficients $\Lambda_{i j}$ for $k=0.1,0.5$, and 1.0 and various $\varepsilon$ and $\lambda\left(\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda\right)$ are shown in Table 1 , where for each pair of $\lambda, \varepsilon$ a column of values of $\Lambda_{11}, \Lambda_{21}, \Lambda_{22}$ appears for $k=0.1$ and 0.5 , while for $k=1.0$ the column contains only the values of $\Lambda_{11}$, $\Lambda_{21}$, since then $\Lambda_{22}=\Lambda_{11}-\Lambda_{21}$ for $k=1$.

Calculations were carried out with doubled accuracy on a high-speed electronic computer. Calculations had shown that for $\operatorname{small} \varepsilon$ the error of calculations with standard accuracy was sometimes insufficient, particularly in the case of large 7. The proposed solution is generally not valid when $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2} \ldots \infty$, since conditions (1.14) imply that for $\eta-\eta_{i}$ the tangent stresses are zero in inner regions. Hence system (1.20) has a nonunique solution because the solution of the homogeneous system corresponds to arbitrary rotation of solid spheres about axes that pass through /their/ centexs and are parallel to the $y$-axis. However, the moment of Eorces remains zern at the limit $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ and, consequently, for large but finite $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ the coefficients $\Lambda_{i j}$ must be close to the corresponding values of $\Lambda_{i j}{ }^{8}$ for spheres moving with free rotation. The values of $\Lambda_{i j}{ }^{*}$ can be determined as follows. When a solid sphere translates at velocity $\left(V_{i}, 0,0\right)$ and rotates at velocity ( $0, \Omega_{i}, 0$ ) (defined in a Cartesian coordinate system), then force $F_{i}^{x}$ and the force moment $G_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ acting on the sphere may, according to $/ 7$, 8/, be represented in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}^{x}=6 \pi \mu_{e} a_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(F_{i j}^{t} V_{j}+F_{i j}^{r} a_{j} \Omega_{j}\right) \\
& G_{i}^{v}=8 \pi \mu_{e} a_{i}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(G_{i j}^{t} V_{j+}+G_{i j}{ }^{r} a_{j} \Omega_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(in a somewhat different notation than in /7,8/). Expressing angular velocities in terms of translational ones and taking into account that the moments $G_{i}{ }^{*}$ are zero, we obtain $\Lambda_{i j}{ }^{8}$ as a function of sixteen coefficients $F_{m l}^{t}, \quad F_{m l}^{r}, G_{m l}^{t}$ and $G_{m l}^{r}$. For certain pairs of $k, \varepsilon$ from the Table it is possible to calculate the values of $A_{i j}{ }^{s}$ using the values of $F_{i j}{ }^{t}, F_{i j}{ }^{r}$, $G_{i j}{ }^{\prime}$ and $G_{i j}^{r}$

Table 1

| \% | $\lambda$ | $\varepsilon=10.0$ | 5.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0:31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6703 | 0.6722 | 0.6617 | 0.6301 | 0.6224 | 0.6215 |
|  |  | 0.0160 | 0.0210 | 0.0276 | 0.0284 | 0.0283 | 0.0283 |
|  |  | 0.6545 | 0.6522 | 0.6506 | 0.6511 | 0.6512 | 0.6513 |
|  | 10.0 | 0.9844 | 1.0036 | 1.1322 | 1.4441 | 1.6085 | $1.647 \%$ |
|  |  | 0.0365 | 0.0512 | 0.0840 | 0.1215 | 0.1384 | 0.1424 |
|  |  | 0.9467 | 0.9446 | 0.9483 | 0.9511 | 0.9514 | 0.9514 |
|  | 30.0 | 1.0052 | 1.0270 | 1.1848 | 1.6353 | 1.9860 | 2. 1271 |
|  |  | 0.0382 | 0.0538 | 0.0904 | 0.1424 | 0.1782 | 0, 4925 |
|  |  | 0.9656 | 0.9637 | 0.9681 | 0.0717 | 0.9723 | 6.7724 |
|  | $10^{7}$ | 1.0167 | 1.0402 | 1.2174 | 1.7846 | 2.3998 | 2.9497 |
|  |  | 0.0391 | 0.0552 | 0.0944 | 0.1581 | 0.2211 | 0.2767 |
|  |  | 0.9760 | 0.9741 | 0.9791 | 0.1883 | 0.9842 | 0.9848 |
| 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6686 | 0.6719 | 0.6871 | 0.6055 | 0.6055 | 0. 6954 |
|  |  | 0.0257 | 0.0420 | 0.0859 | 0.1123 | 0.1157 | 0.1160 |
|  |  | 0.6429 | 0.6299 | 0.6122 | 0.3004 | 0.5892 | 0.5881 |
|  | 10.0 | 0.9759 | 0.9869 | 1.0625 | 1.2217 | 1.2854 | 1.2968 |
|  |  | 0.0551 | 0.0919 | 0.2124 | 0.3416 | 0.3867 | 11.3575 |
|  |  | 0.9208 | 0.8949 | 0.8431 | 0.8280 | 0.8303 | (1.8312 |
|  | 30.0 | 0.9959 | 1.0076 | 1.0910 | 1.2897 | 1.3982 | 1.4315 |
|  |  | 0.0574 | 0.0958 | 0.2234 | 0.3737 | 0.4365 | 0.4546 |
|  |  | 0.9385 | 0.917 | 0.8585 | 0.8442 | 0.8473 | 0.8496 |
|  | 107 | 1.0068 | 1.0100 | 1.1071 | 1.3353 | 1.5448 | 1.6030 |
|  |  | 0.0587 | 0.0980 | 0.2997 | 0.3954 | 0.4872 | 0.5413 |
|  |  | 0.9482 | 0.9209 | 0.8669 | 0.8526 | 0.8569 | 0.8620 |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.6678 | 0.6701 | 0.6856 | 0.7051 | 0.7083 | 0.7087 |
|  |  | 0.0278 | 0.0479 | 0.1142 | 0.1677 | 0.1760 | 0.1769 |
|  | 10.0 | 0.9733 | 0.9806 | 1.0411 | 1.1811 | 1.2301 | 1.9457 |
|  |  | 0.0592 | 0.1032 | 0.2703 | 0.4787 | 0.5406 | 9. 5303 |
|  | 30.0 | 0.9931 | 1.0008 | 1.0660 | 1.2286 | 1.3104 | 1.3328 |
|  |  | 0.0617 | 0.1075 | 0.2832 | 0.5158 | 0.6040 | 0.6260 |
|  | $10^{7}$ | 1.0040 | 1.0119 | 1.0799 | 1.2579 | 1.3696 | 1.4277 |
|  |  | 0.0630 | 0.1099 | 0.2905 | 0.5394 | 0.6570 | 0.7136 |

tabulated in $/ 7,8 /$. It appears that for the same $k, \varepsilon$ and $\lambda=10^{7}$ the values of. $\Lambda_{i j}$ in Table 1 coincide to a high degree of accuracy with the corresponding values of $\Lambda_{i j}$ (for instance, the values of $\Lambda_{11}$ and $\Lambda_{11}{ }^{\prime}$ differ by less than unity of the least significant decimal digit). Note that the method of calculating $\Lambda_{i j}{ }^{*}$ differs in principle from the proposed here method of calculating $\Lambda_{i j}$.

An asymptotic formula for $F_{1}{ }^{x}$ was obtained in /12/ by the method of imaging, which for $\dot{\lambda}_{1}, \hat{\lambda}_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ becomes the approximate formula derived there for solid spheres moving normally to the line of centers without rotation. The authors of /12/ had erroneously assumed that this confirms the correctness of the solution derived by them. But, since $\Lambda_{i j} \rightarrow \Lambda_{i j}{ }^{s}$, the correct asymptotic formula for $F_{1}^{x}$ as $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ must be in agreement with the known asymptotic solution for solid spheres moving with free rotation (see /13/). There is actually no such agreement, hence it is possible to assume the existence of an error in the formula in 12/. An exact calculation by the method used in $/ 12 /$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{x} \simeq-2 x \mu_{e^{\alpha}}{ }_{1} p_{1}\left\{V _ { 1 } ^ { x } \left[1-\frac{1}{16} p_{1} p_{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{1} q_{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{3}-\frac{1}{8} q_{1} p_{2} \alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \alpha_{2}+\right.\right.  \tag{2,5}\\
& \left.\frac{1}{256} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} c_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}\right]-r_{2}^{x}\left[\frac{1}{4} p_{2} \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{4} q_{2} \alpha_{3}^{3}+\frac{1}{4} q q_{1}^{-1} p_{1}^{-1} p_{2} \alpha_{1}^{n} \alpha_{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{64} p_{1} p_{1}{ }^{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{64} q_{1} p_{2}^{2} \alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{64} p_{1} p_{2} q_{4} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{1024} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{3} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{3}\right]\right\} \\
& p_{i}=\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(3+3 \lambda_{i}\right), \quad a_{i}=\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{i}, \quad a_{i}=a_{i} / l
\end{align*}
$$

where $l$ is the distance between spheres. The coefficients at $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}{ }^{3}, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{4}{ }^{4}$ and $\alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \alpha_{2}{ }^{2}$ were incorrectly determined in /12/. The comparison with numerical results shows that formula (2.5) is a correct asymptotic expansion of $F_{1}^{x}$ as $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \rightarrow 0$. For $k=0.5$ and $\varepsilon=10$ and all $\lambda$ the values of coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{i j}$ calculated by formula (2.5) coincide with the exact values within not less than the fourth significant digit. However in the case of nearly touching spheres formula (2.5) yields, as a rule, a considerable error, particularly for large $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. For example, for $k=0.25, \varepsilon=0.005$ and $\lambda=30$ it follows from formula (2.5) that $\Lambda_{11}=1.12, \Lambda_{21}=0.20$ and $\Lambda_{22}=0.921$, while the exact solution yields the following values: $\Lambda_{11}=1.6371, \Lambda_{21}=0.32021$ and $\Lambda_{22}=0.92885$. Moreover, formula (2.5) does not show the nonmonotonic behavior of
coefficients of $\Lambda_{11}$ and $\Lambda_{21}$ which is generally present at a change of $k$ (see Table 1).
3. The behavior of coefficients of $\boldsymbol{A}_{i j}$ in the case of touching spheres. Let us consider the dissipative function $l$ :

$$
\frac{E}{2}=\mu_{e} \int_{D_{e}}^{2} e^{i k} e_{j k} d \tau \quad-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_{i} \int_{D_{i}} e^{i k} e_{j k} d \tau
$$

which corresponds to the motion of two spheres. In this formula $D_{e}$ and $D_{i}$ are the regions denoted in Fig.l by the symbols $e$ and $i(i-1,2)$, and $e_{j k}$ are components of strain rate tensor. Boundary conditions on the surface of spheres make it possible to represent $E$ as a quadratic form of velocities: $E=-\left(F_{1} \mathbf{V}_{1}+\mathbf{F}_{2} \mathbf{V}_{2}\right)$. The dissipative function $E^{s}$ in the case of two solid spheres moving with rotation can be rcprescnted in exactly the same form. Taking into account the boundary conditions for the remainder $E^{s}-E$ (for the same $\varepsilon, a_{i}$, and $\mathbf{V}_{i}$ ) we obtain the formula

The components $e_{j k}^{8}$ of lhe deformation rate tensor relate to the motion of solid spheres. Taking into account (2.4) we can obtain, owing to the positive definiteness of the quadratic forms of $E$ and $E^{s}-E$, the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\Lambda_{11}<\Lambda_{11}{ }^{3}, 0<\Lambda_{22}+\Lambda_{21}<\Lambda_{22}^{s}+\Lambda_{21}^{s}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left(\Lambda_{21}\right)^{2}<k \Lambda_{11}\left(\Lambda_{22}+\Lambda_{21}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The asymptotic formulas in $/ 9 /$ for coefficients $F_{i j}{ }^{\prime}, F_{i j}{ }^{r}, C_{i j}$, and $G_{i j}{ }^{r}$ imply that the values of $\Lambda_{i j}^{s}$ remain finite as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{i j}^{s}(k, \varepsilon)=\Lambda_{i j}^{s}\left(k,(0)-\vdash\left(|\ln \varepsilon|^{-1}\right), \varepsilon \rightarrow 0\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) that in the case of fluid spheres coefficients $\Lambda_{i j}$ have no singularities as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (unlike in the axisymmetric case, see /14/). Moreover, numerical computations show that for fixed $k, \lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{i j}\left(k, \varepsilon, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\Lambda_{i j}\left(k, 0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)-O(\varepsilon) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By virtue of (3.2) relation (3.3) is inhomogeneous with respect to $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ when $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \rightarrow \infty$.

The author thanks A. M. Golovin for useful discussion.
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